BRANCH BAM

CUSTOMER G4S Intergrated Services

CONTRACT MET Office

CONTRACT CARRIED OUT (approx) December

| Installation Quality | | Programme Compliance | | Problem Handling | | Safety | | Value Added to Contract | | Employ Again? | |
|---|------|---|----|----------------------------|----|-----------------------------------|----|--|----|--|----|
| Score: | 10 | Score: | 10 | Score: | 10 | Score: | 10 | Score: | 10 | Score: | 10 |
| 10 = Above expectation | | 10 = Better than agreed | | 10 = Totally satisfactory | | | | 10 = Input had considerable benefit | | 10 = Most definitely (subject to commercial consideration) | |
| 8 = Defect Free | | 8 = As agreed | | 5 = Acceptable | | 8 = Acceptable standards | | 6 = Input had some benefit | | 8 = Probably | |
| 6 = Minor Defects (no impact on client) | | 6 = Slower than agreed (no impact on contract) | | 1 = Totally unsatisfactory | | 5 = Minor safety infringements | | 4 = No useful input | | 5 = Only if cheapest price | |
| 4 = Major Defects (impact on client) | | 4 = Slower than agreed (impact on contract) | | | | 3 = Major safety infringements | | 1 = Input caused negative effect | | 3 = Reluctantly | |
| 1 = Major Defects (serious impact on client) | | 1 = Major delays (serious impact on contract) | | | | 1 =Totally unsatisfactory | | | | 1 = Under no circumstances | |
| The team work to a very high standard of workmanship and a very high standard of safety. They conduct themselves very well on site and are very good at communicating any issues that they discover. The team that work on this site is one that I would like to see kept as our dedicated team | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| John R.Lamb | pert | | | | | | | | | | |

Scorings (descriptions are for guidance - score on a scale of 1-10)

BriggsAmasco Roof Maintenance

CONTRACT NO. 09/21316

DATE 13/02/2012